



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/645,643  | 08/21/2003        | Adrian Liem          | 4-32682A                | 8789             |  |
| 1095 . 75   | 590 12/13/2004    |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| NOVARTIS  |                   |                      | FORD, VANESSA L         |                  |  |
| CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY<br>ONE HEALTH PLAZA 430/2 |                   | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| EAST HANOV  | ER, NJ 07936-1080 |                      | 1645                    |                  |  |
|   |                   |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004 | 4                |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |            |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
|  | 10/645,643   | LIEM ET AL.  |            |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |            |  |  |  |
| • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •  | Vanessa L. Ford  | 1645   |            |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a   | appears on the cover sheet v   | vith the correspondence addres   | SS         |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply   |  |  |            |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the lod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commuNBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | unication. |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |            |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21  | 1 August 2003.   |  |            |  |  |  |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T  | his action is non-final.   |  |            |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow  | wance except for formal ma   | tters, prosecution as to the me  | erits is   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde  | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.                            |  |            |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |            |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-35</u> is/are pending in the applica<br>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without<br>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.<br>6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.<br>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.<br>8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-35</u> are subject to restriction and  | drawn from consideration.  |  |            |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |            |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam<br>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a<br>Applicant may not request that any objection to t<br>Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr  | accepted or b)  objected to<br>the drawing(s) be held in abey  | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  | .121(d).   |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the attach  | ed Office Action or form PTO-  | 152.       |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |            |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a  | ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                    | Application No In received in this National Sta  | ge         |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | Λ □ 1-4 :····  | Summary (PTO 413)  |            |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li></ol>   | Paper N  | r Summary (PTO-413)<br>o(s)/Mail Date<br>r Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)<br>  | 2)         |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1645

## **Election/Restriction**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Group I. Claims 21-22 are drawn to a method of preventing footrot, classified in class 424, subclass 184.1.
  - Group II. Claims 23-32 are drawn to a method for producing a whole cell culture vaccine, classified in class 435, subclass 41.
  - Group III. Claims 33-35 are drawn to a vaccine, classified in class 424, subclass 234.1.
- 2. Groups I and II are drawn to different methods. The methods are distinct because they require different method steps, reagents and endpoints.
- 3. Groups I and Group III are related as process of using and product used. These inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown.

  (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MEP 806.05(h). In the instant case, the invention of Group III can be used in affinity assays.

Art Unit: 1645

- 4. Groups II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the invention of Group III can be made recombinantly.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Moreover, in the absence of restriction it would place an undue search and examination burden on the examiner.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

Art Unit: 1645

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product

Art Unit: 1645

claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry of the general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308–0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Office Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for the Group 1600 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa L. Ford, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0857. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (571) 272-0864.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov./</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vanessa L. Ford

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

December 2, 2004

LYNEITE R. F. SMITE
SUPERVISORY PATENT FX AND INFO